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Order policies set the frequency for deliveries to a company. This has a major influence on the amount of inventory a company carries and on the number of transactions (and corresponding overhead) a company must support.

Traditional Solution:

The majority of companies base their ordering policies on a part’s ABC classification. The ABC classification is a way of stratifying parts into their relative value. Typically companies will designate as “A” parts, the relatively few parts that make up the top 80% of the forecasted spend, “B” parts will make up the next 15% of the forecasted spend, and the vast number of parts that make up the last 5% of the forecasted spend will be designated as “C” parts. In terms of ordering policy, companies determine the weeks of supply they want buyers to purchase for each category - for example:

- A = 2 weeks of supply
- B = 6 weeks of supply
- C = 13 weeks of supply

This methodology has survived since the early part of the 20th century because it is easy to implement and easy to understand. However, as explained below, it has outlived its usefulness.

Problems with Traditional Model:

The downside to the traditional approach is that is does not yield very good results. Most companies are either carrying too much inventory, or they are weighed down with extra overhead to support unnecessary transactions, or both. The problems with the traditional approach stem from the following issues:

Static: The business environment changes rapidly and companies need to be more nimble than ever. To stay competitive, companies must respond to the changing realities of the market. Given that environment, it is surprising that very few companies adjust their order policies to reflect changes in major cost drivers. The cost of capital may rise or fall by 50% in a six-month period. This should cause a re-evaluation of ABC ordering policy. In most companies, it is not uncommon for the order policy to sit unchanged for years.

Too few categories: With only three or four levels of stratification (e.g. A,B,C, D? E?), parts with very different annual spend rates are grouped into the same category and treated the same. The highest parts in a category might have 50 times the spend rate of the parts at the bottom of the category. They are treated the same but the economics are completely different.

Absolute versus relative value: All “A” parts are not created equal. Since ABC classification is based on relative dollar value, an “A” part in one division of a company may be completely different in terms of spend rate from an “A” part in another division. A company with $200 million in revenues has “A” parts that it treats about the same as a company that has $2 billion in
revenue treats its “A” parts. The economics (volumes, dollar spend) may be completely different for those parts but they are treated the same.

**Inconsistent application:** In most companies, the rules governing weeks of supply are open to interpretation and judgment by the buyers. Where this occurs, you get wide variations in execution that has more to do with the personalities of the people involved rather than the economics of the situation. This can lead to unnecessary inventory and expense.

**Outdated:** Companies have been very successful in eliminating archaic business processes. As a stark contrast to that success, ABC ordering policy continues to operate in the “same old way.”. It has survived, relatively unchanged, since the 1940s. At that time, the lack of computers necessitated a simple approach. Today we have powerful computers so it no longer makes sense to use this approach to drive modern, complex ERP systems.

**Costly:** For all of the reasons stated above, the ABC approach yields poor results. For most companies this means excessive transactions, a poor allocation or resources, missed opportunities on high-value parts, and unnecessary inventory exposure.

**Recommended Solution:**

Symphony Consulting, Inc. has developed a model that eliminates all of these problems and lowers costs significantly. Our model uses modern algorithms to create the “optimum” order policy for each part. Although the model is complex, it is easy to implement and use because the output integrates into standard ERP fields. Our program runs in the background and has a simple data handshake with ERP. Buyers still use the same tools and reports but the action messages they see are based on our modern approach rather than the traditional ABC methodology. Within our software, it is easy to update critical cost drivers so the solution remains current. Also, the program is flexible and can be tailored to meet a variety of needs within a business.

Using data from a number of OEMs and EMS (electronic manufacturing services) companies, we have demonstrated the power of our solution. The table below shows some results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Current Transactions</th>
<th>Symphony TOP Transactions</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>Current Inventory</th>
<th>TOP Inventory(^1)</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>73,944</td>
<td>21,453</td>
<td>-71%</td>
<td>$6,538K</td>
<td>$4,878K</td>
<td>-25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>46,094</td>
<td>11,775</td>
<td>-74%</td>
<td>$8,539K</td>
<td>$4,244K</td>
<td>-51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>8,706</td>
<td>4,493</td>
<td>-48%</td>
<td>$3,670K</td>
<td>$2,848K</td>
<td>-22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 4</td>
<td>68,952</td>
<td>14,209</td>
<td>-80%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$5,148K(^2)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 5</td>
<td>6,639</td>
<td>2,287</td>
<td>-65%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$457K(^3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1 – Inventory also affected by forecast change response, ECO implementation, and system parameters
2 – no current on-hand included in this analysis – expected turns at the component level = 10.6
3 – no current on-hand included in the analysis – expected turns at the component level = 15.6
As can be seen from the data, transactions drop dramatically in every case. In general, our solution eliminates busy work on low-value transactions and puts more emphasis on those few parts that create the bulk of the inventory exposure. The bandwidth that our solution creates allows organizations to be more responsive to business fluctuations. In addition, the reduced transactions translate directly into bottom-line savings.

The time has come to replace the ABC approach with a more modern solution to establish order policy. The Symphony TOP software provides a dynamic and effective tool to manage order policy while dramatically lowering cost and inventory exposure.
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